|  |   | market in,       | Ŋ   |  |
|--|---|------------------|---|--|
| Advisory Action  |   | Applicati n N .  | Applicant(s)                                    |  |
|  |   | 09/765,868       | LEWIS, JON KARL                                 |  |
|  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,   | Examin r         | Art Unit  |  |
|  |   | Jared J. Fureman | 2876  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |                  |   |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |   |                  |   |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |                  |   |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                  |   |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |   |                  |   |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |   |                  |   |  |
| (a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |   |                  |   |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |                  |   |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |   |                  |   |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |                  |   |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |                  |   |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |                  |   |  |
|  | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |                  |   |  |
| 5. The   | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.                  |                  |   |  |
|  | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |                  |   |  |
| 7.⊠ For  | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |                  |   |  |
|  | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |                  |   |  |
|  | Claim(s) allowed:   |                  |   |  |
|  | Claim(s) objected to:   |                  |   |  |
|  | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7 and 9-26</u> .  |                  |   |  |
|  | Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-7, and 3-20.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                  |   |  |
|  |   |                  |   |  |
|  |   |                  |   |  |
|  |   |                  |   |  |
| IV.M. Uth  | ы. <u>Эве Солипианол Элевг</u>  | Ç                | Aund A Thenson  Janed J. Fureman  Art Unit 2876 |  |
|  |   |                  | Janed V. Fureman                                |  |
|  |   | •                | 4rt Unit 2876                                   |  |

# Continuation She t (PTO-303) 009/765,868

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Re claim 17, line 6: "directly" raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. It is noted that page 5, lines 18-20 of the amendment filed on 6/23/2003, indicates that applicants have amended claim 9. However, pages 2-3, of the amendment filed on 6/23/2003, do not contain any amendments to claim 9. Furthermore, the amendment to claim 9 (described at page 5, lines 18-20), "directly coupled to a network" would raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Johnson et al, Rhoads, Berson, Al-Sheikh, and Nakfoor references meet the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9-26 remain rejected as set forth in the final office action (see paper number 6).

# The United States Patent and Trademark Office has changed certain mailing addresses!

Effective May 1, 2003

Use the address provided in this flyer after May 1, 2003 for any correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in patent-related matters to organizations reporting to the Commissioner for Patents.

**DO NOT USE** the Washington DC 20231 and P.O. Box 2327 Arlington, VA 22202 addresses after May 1, 2003 for any correspondence with the USPTO even if these old addresses are indicated in the accompanying Office action or Notice or in any other action, notice, material, form, instruction or *other* information.

Correspondence in patent-related matters to organizations reporting to the Commissioner for Patents must now be addressed to:



Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



Special Mail Stop designations to replace Special Box designations

Also effective May 1, 2003, the USPTO is changing the special Box designations for Patents and Trademarks to corresponding Mail Stop designations (e.g., "Box 4" will now be "Mail Stop 4").

For further information, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003). A copy of the Federal Register notice is available on the USPTO's web site at http://www.uspto.gov/web/menu/current.html#register

A listing of specific USPTO mailing addresses (See Patents – specific) will be available on the USPTO's web site on April 15, 2003 at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/main/contacts.htm">http://www.uspto.gov/main/contacts.htm</a>

Persons filing correspondence with the Office should check the rules of practice, the Official Gazette, or the Office's Internet Web site (www.uspto.gov) to determine the appropriate address and Mail Stop Designation (if applicable) for all correspondence being delivered to the USPTO via the United States Postal Service (USPS).

Questions regarding the content of this flyer should be directed to the Inventor Assistance Center at (703) 308-4357 or toll-free at 1-800-786-9199.

## REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE: 37 CFR 1.121 CHANGED COMPLIANCE IS MANDATORY - Effective Date: July 30, 2003

All amendments filed on or after the effective date noted above must comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121. See Final Rule: Changes To Implement Electronic Maintenance of Official Patent Application Records (68 Fed. Reg. 38611 (June 30, 2003), posted on the Office's website at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/ifw/ with related information. The amendment practice set forth in revised 37 CFR 1.121, and described below, replaces the voluntary revised amendment format available to applicants since February 2003. NOTE: STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE REVISED 37 CFR 1.121 IS REQUIRED AS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE (July 30, 2003). The Office will notify applicants of amendments that are not accepted because they do not comply with revised 37 CFR 1.121 via a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. See MPEP 714.03 (Rev. 1, Feb. 2003). The noncompliant section(s) will have to be corrected and the entire corrected section(s) resubmitted within a set period.

Bold underlined italic font has been used below to highlight the major differences between the revised 37 CFR 1.121 and the voluntary revised amendment format that applicants could use since February, 2003. Note: The amendment practice for reissues and reexamination proceedings, except for drawings, has not changed.

## REVISED AMENDMENT PRACTICE

## I. Begin each section of an amendment document on a separate sheet:

Each section of an amendment document (e.g., Specification Amendments, Claim Amendments, Drawing Amendments, and Remarks) must begin on a separate sheet. Starting each separate section on a new page will facilitate the process of separately indexing and scanning each section of an amendment document for placement in an image file wrapper.

## II. Two versions of amended part(s) no longer required:

37 CFR 1.121 has been revised to no longer require two versions (a clean version and a marked up version) f each replacement paragraph or section, or amended claim. Note, however, the requirements for a clean version and a marked up version for substitute specifications under 37 CFR 1.125 have been retained.

## A) Amendments to the claims:

Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of a claim or submission of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims in the application. After each claim number in the listing, the status must be indicated in a parenthetical expression, and the text of each pending claim (with markings to show current changes) must be presented. The claims in the listing will replace all prior claims in the application.

- (1) The current status of all of the claims in the application, including any previously canceled, not entered or withdrawn claims, must be given in a parenthetical expression following the claim number using only one of the following seven status identifiers: (original), (currently amended), (canceled), (withdrawn), (new), (previously presented) and (not entered). The text of all pending claims, including withdrawn claims, must be submitted each time any claim is amended. Canceled and not entered claims must be indicated by only the claim number and status, without presenting the text of the claims.
- (2) The text of all claims being currently amended must be presented in the claim listing with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version. The changes in any amended claim must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions: (1) for deletion of five characters or fewer, double brackets may be used (e.g., [[eroor]]); and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change (e.g., number 4 as number 14 as). An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Only claims of the status "currently amended," and "withdrawn" that are being amended, may include markings.
- (3) The text of pending claims not being currently amended, including withdrawn claims, must be presented in the claim listing in clean version, i.e., without any markings. Any claim text presented in clean version will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version except to omit markings that may have been present in the immediate prior version of the claims.

- (4) A claim being canceled must be listed in the claim listing with the status identifier "canceled"; the text of the claim must not be presented. Providing an instruction to cancel is optional.
- (5) Any claims added by amendment must be presented in the claim listing with the status identifier "(new)"; the text of the claim must not be underlined.
- (6) All of the claims in the claim listing must be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive canceled, or not entered, claims may be aggregated into one statement (e.g., Claims 1 5 (canceled)).

### Example of listing of claims (use of the word "claim" before the claim number is optional):

Claims 1-5 (canceled)

Claim 6 (previously presented): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 7 (withdrawn): A handle comprising an elongated wire.

Claim 8 (withdrawn): The handle of claim 7 further comprising a plastic grip.

Claim 9 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 10 (original): The bucket of claim 9 wherein the handle is made of wood.

Claim 11 (canceled)

Claim 12 (not entered)

Claim 13 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

#### B) Amendments to the specification:

Amendments to the specification, including the abstract, must be made by presenting a replacement paragraph or section or abstract marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required and should not be presented. Newly added paragraphs or sections, including a new abstract (instead of a replacement abstract), must not be underlined. A replacement or new abstract must be submitted on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72. If a substitute specification is being submitted to incorporate extensive amendments, both a clean version (which will be entered) and a marked up version must be submitted as per 37 CFR 1.125.

The changes in any replacement paragraph or section, or substitute specification must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions: (1) for <u>deletion of five characters or fewer</u>, <u>double brackets may be used (e.g., [[eroor]]); and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g., deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g., [[4]]). As an alternative to using double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after text being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change (e.g., number 4 as number 14 as)</u>

#### C) Amendments to drawing figures:

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments, or remarks, section of the amendment, and may be accompanied by a marked-up copy of one or more of the figures being amended, with annotations. Any replacement drawing sheet must be identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet" and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. Any marked-up (annotated) copy showing changes must be labeled "Annotated Sheet Showing Changes" and accompany the replacement sheet as an appendix to the amendment. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this flyer should be directed to: Elizabeth Dougherty or Gena Jones, Legal Advisors, or Joe Narcavage, Senior Special Projects Examiner, Office of Patent Legal Administration, by e-mail to patent practice@uspto.gov or by phone at (703) 305-1616.